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Abstract

The aim of this study was to assess the anthropometric data of girls and young women in Yakutia, depending on the type of sexual dimorphism and ethnos. The study included 2,081 girls and young women aged from 16 to 20 years. All those examined were born and permanently resided in the territory of the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia); among them there were 1284 Yakuts and 797 Russians. Anthropometric measurements were carried out according to the method of V.V. Bunak (1941), adopted in the Institute of Anthropology of MSU. Absolute values of the main components of the body (the total amount of fat, muscle tissue, bone tissue) were calculated by the formula Matiegka (1921). The body type was determined in accordance with the Tanner index. Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software package SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) The data obtained, depending on the type of sexual dimorphism, indicate the different maturation rates of the morphofunctional structures in Yakut and Russian women in the extreme natural climatic conditions of Yakutia. (International Journal of Biomedicine, 2017;7(3):231-235.)
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Introduction

The history of classification and analysis of the human physique can be traced back to ancient times. Hippocrates, a great Greek philosopher and physician of the fifth century BC, described two different types of bodies. The first he called habitus phthisicus, which was long and thin, and dominated by a vertical dimension; the second he called habitus apoplecticus, whose main physical characteristic was a short, thick body that was strong in the horizontal dimension. While the former were assumed to have a greater susceptibility to tuberculosis, the latter were very much prone to the diseases of the cardiovascular system. In the 1940s, the famous psychologist William Sheldon proposed the word “somatotype” to describe a body-type using his method of classifying the human physique. He claimed that there are 3 such somatotypes: endomorphy, mesomorphy, and ectomorphy. Most people are unique combinations of these three body types. Sheldon stands out as the pivotal researcher in this field even though his method is not widely used. In biomedical practice, there are many methods of somatotyping, among which the method of J.Tanner is most suitable for determining the type of physique of women. Sexual dimorphism can be characterized as gynecomorphic, mesomorphic and andromorphic somatotypes.

Preserving the health of young women, as future mothers, is one of the priorities of modern medicine. According to a number of authors, the adolescent period in the ontogenetic cycle of a person is characterized by the stability of physiological parameters and the development of the reproductive system. At the same time, there are some studies devoted to the ethno-territorial features in the formation of the morphofunctional status of human. Researchers note the different rates of physical development and reproductive system development among residents of different regions and different ethnic groups. Currently, a number of studies are being carried out in Yakutia aimed at studying the population from the standpoint of biomedical anthropology. However, the morphofunctional features of girls of different ethnic groups living in the extreme climatic conditions of Yakutia have not been studied enough. That lack determined the relevance of this study.
Materials and Methods

The study included 2,081 girls and young women aged from 16 to 20 years. All those examined were born and permanently resided in the territory of the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) (RS(Y)); among them there were 1284 Yakuts and 797 Russians.

In accordance with the age period of human ontogeny, the examined persons belonged to the youthful period of human development (16-20 years). The examination was not conducted during pregnancy, or in the presence of acute diseases and exacerbation of chronic diseases at the time of examination. Ethnicity of the study participants was determined based on personal data. The examined persons were full-time and part-time students of higher and secondary special educational institutions.

Anthropometric measurements were carried out according to the method of V.V. Bunak, adopted in the Institute of Anthropology of MSU. Body length (BL) was measured using a Martin metal anthropometer with an accuracy of 0.1 cm. Body weight (BW) was measured without clothing using medical scales with an accuracy of 50 g. The skinfold thickness was measured using the Holtain Tanner/Whitehouse Skinfold Caliper (United Kingdom) with a contact surface area of 90 mm² and a pressure of 10 g/mm² with an accuracy of 1 mm. In addition, we measured the skin fat folds of the shoulder (the front and back surfaces), forearm, back, chest, abdomen, hip and lower leg. The circumference dimensions of shoulder, forearm, wrist, hip, lower leg, thorax, buttocks and girth over the ankles were determined using centimetric tape. The diameters of the shoulders and the pelvis were measured with a large caliber compass; the distal diameters of the shoulder, forearm, hip, and lower leg were measured with a sliding compass. The accuracy of the instruments used was verified after every 100 measurements, using a special calibration block.

The body surface area was calculated by Isaacson’s method. Absolute values of the main components of the body (the total amount of fat, muscle tissue, bone tissue) were calculated by the formula Matiecka (1921).

\[ D = d \times S \times k \]

\[ d - Average \ skin \ and \ subcutaneous \ adipose \ layer \ thickness \ (mm), \ S - body \ surface \ (cm^2), \ k - Constant \ equal \ to \ 0.13 \]

The average skin and subcutaneous adipose layer thickness was calculated according to the formula:

\[ d = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5 + d_6 + d_7 + d_8}{16} \]

\[ d_1- d_8 - thickness \ of \ the \ skin \ fat \ folds \ of \ the \ shoulder \ (the \ front \ and \ back \ surfaces) \ forearm, \ back, \ abdomen, \ hip, \ lower \ leg \ and \ chest \ (mm). \]

The amount of muscle tissue was determined by the formula:

\[ M = L \times r^2 \times k \]

\[ M - Absolute \ mass \ of \ muscle \ tissue \ (kg) \]

\[ L - Body \ length \ (cm) \]

\[ r - Average \ value \ of \ the \ circumference \ dimensions \ of \ the \ shoulder, \ forearm, \ hip, \ lower \ leg \ without \ skin \ and \ subcutaneous \ adipose \ layer \ thickness \ (cm); \]

\[ r = \frac{\sum \text{girths (shoulder, forearm, hip, lower leg)/25.12}}{25.12} \]

\[ - \frac{\sum \text{skin and subcutaneous adipose layer thickness (shoulder, forearm, hip, lower leg)/100}}{100} \]

\[ k - Constant \ equal \ to \ 0.5 \]

The absolute mass of bone tissue was calculated by the formula:

\[ Q = L \times O^2 \times k \]

\[ Q - Absolute \ mass \ of \ the \ skin \ tissue \ (kg) \]

\[ L - Body \ length \ (cm), \ O^2 - square \ of \ the \ average \ value \ of \ the \ distal \ diameters \ of \ the \ shoulder, \ forearm, \ hip, \ and \ lower \ leg \]

\[ k - Constant \ equal \ to \ 1.2 \]

To compare the relative values of the body components, the values of the components as a percentage of the body weight were determined.

The body type was determined in accordance with the Tanner index (sexual dimorphism index, ISD), calculated by the formula:

\[ ISD = 3 \times BAD - IPD, \text{ where} \]

\[ BAD - \text{bisacromial diameter (shoulder width), cm; IPD - Intercristal pelvic diameter, cm.} \]

The values of ISD < 73.1 characterized the gynomorphic body type; ISD 73.1-82.1 the mesomorphic body type; and ISD > 82.1 the andromorphic body type.

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Yakut Research Centre for Complex Medical Problems of the Siberian Branch of the RAS. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software package SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Representativeness of the sample size was determined by the formula:

\[ N = z^2 \times p(1-p)/\epsilon^2, \text{ where} \]

\[ z - a \ 95\% \ confidence \ level \ (as \ a \ z-score) \]

\[ p - percentage \ Value \ (as \ a \ decimal) \]

\[ e - margin \ of \ error \ (as \ a \ decimal) \pm 5\% \]

\[ N - population \ size \]

Variables were presented as median and interquartile ranges (IQR). The Kruskal Wallis test was used to compare medians among comparison groups. Categorical variables were analyzed using the Chi-square test with the Yates’ correction. A probability value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

BL and body weight BW of the examined girls and young women of the Yakut and Russian nationality were
Yakut, 159.5 cm [156.2;163.5] and 52.0 kg [48.0;57.0]; and Russian, 163.6 cm [157.0;166.8] and 56.0 kg [50.0;60.0].

Among the Yakut women, we found the gynomorphic type in 19.3% of cases, the mesomorphic type in 72.7% of cases, and the andromorphic type in 8.0% of cases; among Russian women: 16.0%, 70.5%, and 3.5%, respectively (Tables 1 and 2).

In this period of human age, according to a number of scientists, there are no longer any age-related body transformations. At the same time, there are scientific data about the possible immaturity of morphological structures and the unfinished processes of constitution formation in adolescent girls. Studies of anthropometric indices and rates of development of secondary sexual characteristics of Yakut girls testify to later terms of sexual and physical development in comparison with the average indicators of other regions of Russia. Thus, BL and BW of Yakut women were significantly (P<0.001) less than those of Russian women in Yakutia, which is consistent with the conclusions of other researchers on the smaller dimensions of representatives of Mongoloid ethnic groups in comparison to Caucasians.

An analysis of the distribution of body types according to the Tanner index revealed that mesomorphic body type dominates (72.7% in Yakuts and 70.5% in Russians) in both ethnic groups. The proportion of mesomorphic and gynomorphic types, depending on the ethnos, did not differ significantly. The extreme variant of sexual dimorphism in the form of andromorphy was smaller in both ethnic groups, but it was more often found among Russian women than among Yakut women (χ²=13.313, P<0.01).

A similar distribution of body types was observed among young women in other regions of Russia: Caucasian women of the Baikal region (Irkutsk) and women of Khakassia. At the same time, among students of the V oronezh State Medical Academy named after N.N. Burdenko, the gynomorphic and mesomorphic types were determined in most cases with the same frequency.

Our study revealed that the parameters of overall dimensions, shoulder and pelvis diameters, and absolute and relative parameters of the body components of Yakut women of different body types did not differ significantly. The obtained data testify to later physical and sexual development among Mongoloid women.

### Table 1.
**Anthropometric data of the Yakut girls and young women by Tanner index**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Gynomorphic body type</th>
<th>Mesomorphic body type</th>
<th>Andromorphic body type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Me [LQ; UQ] (n=248)</td>
<td>Me [LQ; UQ] (n=933)</td>
<td>Me [LQ; UQ] (n=103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length, cm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160.0 [155.4; 164.0]</td>
<td>159.4 [156.4; 163.2]</td>
<td>158.0 [155.2; 164.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=0.434; P=0.805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>52.0 [48.0; 56.5]</td>
<td>52.0 [48.0; 57.0]</td>
<td>50.0 [48.0; 56.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=1.154; P=0.562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder diameter, cm</td>
<td>34.0 [33.2; 34.5]</td>
<td>34.8 [33.5; 35.5]</td>
<td>35.0 [33.5; 36.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=1.240; P=0.538</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercristal pelvic diameter, cm</td>
<td>27.0 [25.4; 28.0]</td>
<td>27.0 [26.0; 28.0]</td>
<td>27.0 [26.0; 28.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=1.895; P=0.388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=0.472; P=0.790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage, %</td>
<td>27.49 [24.13; 30.46]</td>
<td>27.43 [24.00; 30.82]</td>
<td>28.86 [24.80; 32.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=3.199; P=0.202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute mass of muscle tissue, kg</td>
<td>20.44 [18.08; 22.47]</td>
<td>20.46 [18.69; 23.20]</td>
<td>20.90 [19.06; 23.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=2.955; P=0.228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle tissue percentage, %</td>
<td>38.72 [35.11; 42.15]</td>
<td>39.51 [36.99; 42.20]</td>
<td>41.43 [38.16; 42.70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=5.194; P=0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute value of bone mass, kg</td>
<td>7.83 [7.09; 8.83]</td>
<td>7.95 [7.23; 8.76]</td>
<td>8.04 [7.43; 8.76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=2.019; P=0.364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mass percentage, %</td>
<td>15.00 [13.62; 16.60]</td>
<td>15.50 [14.00; 16.62]</td>
<td>15.80 [14.50; 16.70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H=5.273; P=0.072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anthropometric indices of Russian women, in contrast to those of Yakut women of the same age group, differed depending on the type of sexual dimorphism, indicating that they had reached the definitive dimensions of the anthropometric parameters of the body. Our data showed that BL and BW were significantly greater in Russian women with the andromorphic type of physique compared to women with the gynomorphic and mesomorphic body types ($P<0.001$).

The shoulder diameter in the subjects with andromorphic type was greater than in women with other types of physique. The presence of features of anthropometric indices that depend on the type of sexual dimorphism has been demonstrated in the works of other authors.$^{(5,14)}$

There were statistically significant differences in BW in women with an extreme degree of inversion in the form of andromorphy in the absence of significant differences in BL, compared to women with gynomorphic and mesomorphic body types.

Women with a gynomorphic body type had smaller BW compared to those of other types of sexual dimorphism on the background of statistically insignificant differences in BL. Indicators of the body composition in women with gynomorphy were also significantly smaller.

### Conclusion

The anthropometric study revealed that the overall body sizes and indices of the body composition of Yakut girls and young women of different body types according to ISD did not differ significantly, but in Russians, these indices had significant differences according to the body types. The data obtained, depending on the type of sexual dimorphism, indicate the different maturation rates of the morphofunctional structures in Yakut and Russian women in the extreme natural climatic conditions of Yakutia.
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<th>Variable</th>
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<th>Body fat percentage, %</th>
<th>Absolute mass of muscle tissue, kg</th>
<th>Muscle tissue percentage, %</th>
<th>Absolute value of bone mass, kg</th>
<th>Bone mass percentage, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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$H=7.411; P=0.025$
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