Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Metabolic Syndrome
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Abstract

Background: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is widespread and often combined with other diseases, including metabolic syndrome (MS), which are closely related. The purpose of this study was to assess the clinical efficacy of a pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) program for patients with COPD and MS, in addition to standard therapy.

Materials and Methods: We examined 70 patients with COPD and MS. The patients were divided into 2 groups. Group I included 35 patients who received standard pharmacologic therapy in combination with PR. Group II included 35 patients who received only standard pharmacologic therapy.

Results: Group I patients demonstrated a decrease in exacerbation events from 3.96±0.43 to 2.24±0.10 (P=0.0002), in emergency service calls from 3.80±0.37 to 1.59±0.25 (P=0.0000), and in hospital admissions from 2.93±0.11 to 1.41±0.24 (P=0.0004) per year, as well as a significant decrease in the severity of COLD symptoms, improvements in exercise tolerance, MS components and QL physical and psychological domains, compared to Group II.

Conclusion: The main benefits of a comprehensive PR program for patients with COPD and MS include a decrease in symptoms (dyspnea and fatigue), improvements in exercise tolerance, and reduction in health care utilization (particularly bed-days), as well as an increase in physical activity and an improvement in MS components. (International Journal of Biomedicine. 2017;7(3):171-174.)
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6MWT, the 6-minute walk test; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CAT, the COPD assessment test; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; PR, pulmonary rehabilitation; QL, the quality of life; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGRQ, St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; SF-6, the Short-Form Health Survey; TG, triglycerides.

Introduction

COPD belongs to the group of chronic inflammatory lung diseases group that are characterized by incomplete obstruction of reversible airways. It is supposed that COPD will become one of the leading causes of death in the world by 2020, and the high social importance of this disease has been confirmed. In patients 40 years of age and more, COPD is often combined with pathology of other organs and systems. On the other hand, MS affects 20% to 25% of the adult population and prevalence is on the increase, owing to obesity and a sedentary lifestyle, affecting as many as 42% of individuals aged over 60 years.

According to Global Strategy For The Diagnosis, Management, And Prevention Of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, COPD has substantial manifestations beyond the lungs—the so-called systemic effects, such as...
unintentional weight loss and skeletal muscle dysfunction. The chronic systemic inflammation that is linked to COPD may also initiate or exacerbate comorbid diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, anemia, MS, type 2 diabetes, lung cancer, and depression, and is one of the key mechanisms underlying these extrapulmonary effects.(2,4,8-13)

It is important that COPD is a disease that can be prevented and treated.(1,2) However, over the last two decades, growing evidence of systemic manifestations in COPD patients and their negative effects on the functioning of these patients has accelerated the development and use of nonpharmacological treatments, such as pulmonary rehabilitation (PR). According to GOLD Guidelines, PR and pharmacological therapy must work closely together for a more successful outcome. (1,14-18) PR is a broad program that helps improve the well-being of patients with COPD; it uses exercise, education, and support to help patients to breathe—and function—at the highest level possible. Thus, in cases of the COPD+MS combination, an integrated approach to treatment and prevention and the development of a PR program are necessary.

The purpose of this study was to assess the clinical efficacy of a PR program for patients with COPD and MS, in addition to standard therapy.

Materials and Methods

We examined 70 patients aged from 18 to 60 years with COPD and MS. The patients were divided into 2 groups. Group I included 35 patients (12/34.3% women and 23/65.7% men; mean age, 47.11±0.44 years) who received standard pharmacologic therapy in combination with PR. Group II included 35 patients (10/28.6% women and 25/71.4% men; mean age, 47.08±0.22 years) who received only standard pharmacologic therapy. COPD diagnosis was based on the integral assessment of symptoms, medical history, health status, and spirometry values according to GOLD. MS was diagnosed according to the IDF consensus criteria.19 The study was approved by local ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

A comprehensive clinical examination and laboratory tests included the following procedures:

- Anthropometrical Reference Data: body mass index (BMI) was calculated using Quetelet’s formula (in kg/cm²).
- Waist circumference (WC) was measured using centimetric tape at the navel level on a horizontal line (in cm).
- Assessment of COPD severity included the number of exacerbations, calls to emergency service, and hospital admissions for the past 12 months.
- Quantity assessment of COPD symptoms (dyspnea, cough, sputum production, general weakness) by the 10-point Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).
- Quality assessment of COPD symptoms by a modified British Medical Research Council (mMRC) questionnaire.
- Assessment of QL by SGRQ, SF-36 and CAT.
- Functional tests: spirometry, 6MWT.
- Assessment of blood pressure by Korotkov’s method.
- Assessment of FPG, a 2-hour OGTT, blood levels of TG, HDL-C and LDL-C.

Results and Discussion

During the observation, Group I demonstrated credible changes in some assessment parameters, while Group II showed no statistically significant changes in those parameters. In Group I patients, we found a decrease in exacerbation events from 3.96±0.43 to 2.24±0.10 (P=0.0002), in emergency service calls from 3.80±0.37 to 1.59±0.25 (P=0.0000), and in hospital admissions from 2.93±0.11 to 1.41±0.24 (P=0.0004) per year. There were significant improvements in subjective assessment of COPD symptoms according to the 10-point VAS: dyspnea – from 6.03±0.81 to 2.66±0.29 (P=0.0001), cough – from 5.87±0.36 to 2.04±0.23 (P=0.0002), sputum – from 3.81±0.21 to 1.06±0.49 (P=0.0008), general weakness – from 4.55±0.81 to 1.88±0.49 (P=0.0003). This group indicated significant improvement in dyspnea severity measured by mMRC (from 1.74±0.56 to 0.94±0.64 (P=0.0000). We found also reliable positive dynamics of CAT results (from 22.54±4.06 to 16.32±3.05 (P=0.0000). Group I demonstrated an obvious improvement in average values of SGRQ results: Symptoms score – from 77.21±3.78 to 70.32±3.46 (P=0.0000), Activity score – from 70.32±2.98 to 58.62±5.62 (P=0.0002), Impacts score – from 60.65±4.81 to 53.38±4.37 (P=0.0000), and total score – from 67.51±3.78 to 59.42±3.86 (P=0.0000).

Spirometry results were not significantly different in Groups I and II, but Group I showed significant improvement in the 6MWT result (from 347±6.1 m to 402±7.9 m; P=0.0000). Patients in this group also demonstrated a reliable improvement in QL physical and psychological domains, according to SF-36 (Table 1).

Group I displayed significant improvement in WC (from 111.04±2.11 cm to 102.24±2.79 cm in women, P=0.0394, and from 100.41±1.56 cm to 95.16±1.62 cm in men, P=0.0401) and BMI (from 31.93±0.25 kg/m² to 30.65±0.44 kg/m² for the whole group, P=0.0311).

Group I demonstrated a reliable decrease in SBP (from 151.63±0.77 mmHg to 146.26±1.04 mmHg; P=0.0001) and DBP (from 96.14±0.87 mmHg to 91.12±0.55 mmHg; P=0.0067).

Pulmonary Rehabilitation

The length of the PR program was 5 weeks: 5 practical classes of 1h 30min each for groups of 4-5 people. Topics covered were etiology, pathogenesis, symptoms, management and prevention of COPD and MS. For the smoking patients there was additional information about nicotine dependence and its influence on COPD. All the participants were given books, brochures, information sheets and booklets. The PR program for patients with COPD and MS included:

- Education sessions discussing breathing techniques, disease processes, respiratory medications, oxygen therapy, and exercise techniques
- Exercise reconditioning sessions
- Oxygen dosing (when appropriate)
- Nutrition and healthy lifestyle seminar
- Energy conservation techniques.

The patients’ education program was followed by a 4-week exercise training program.
Table 1.
SF-36 parameters (mean±SEM) in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>62.67±1.02</td>
<td>74.72±1.93*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>41.05±0.93</td>
<td>53.62±1.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>46.35±1.29</td>
<td>59.22±2.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>44.01±2.12</td>
<td>56.82±1.69*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>54.23±1.31</td>
<td>65.51±1.83*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>50.32±1.38</td>
<td>61.23±1.79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>52.83±1.88</td>
<td>63.27±2.19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>61.23±1.83</td>
<td>73.21±2.45*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PF - Physical Functioning, RP - Role-physical, BP - Bodily Pain, GH - General Health, VT - Vitality, SF - Social Functioning, RE - Role-emotional, MH - Mental Health; * - P<0.05.

Conclusion

Thus, a PR program can be considered an important tool for the treatment of patients with COPD and MS. Along with a decrease in the symptoms of the disease, PR promotes respiratory muscle training, training of upper and lower extremity muscle groups, and improvement of the function of the immune system and metabolic processes with positive influence on the cardiovascular system and psychological status. Indeed, the main benefits of a comprehensive PR program for patients with COPD and MS include a decrease in symptoms (dyspnea and fatigue), improvements in exercise tolerance, and reduction in health care utilization (particularly bed-days), as well as an increase in physical activity and an improvement in MS components.
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